Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Esther Rodríguez Gallego, Rovira i Virgili University, Spain

REVIEWED BY

Xue Jiang, Changchun University of Science and Technology, China Tengchuan Jin, University of Science and Technology of China. China

*CORRESPONDENCE

Xiu-Min Li ⊠ XiuMin_Li@nymc.edu Nan Yang ⊠ nan.yang@gnt-us.com

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Nutritional Immunology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Immunology

RECEIVED 26 October 2022 ACCEPTED 02 January 2023 PUBLISHED 07 February 2023

CITATION

Yang N, Maskey AR, Srivastava K, Kim M, Wang Z, Musa I, Shi Y, Gong Y, Fidan O, Wang J, Dunkin D, Chung D, Zhan J, Miao M, Sampson HA and Li X-M (2023) Inhibition of pathologic immunoglobulin E in food allergy by EBF-2 and active compound berberine associated with immunometabolism regulation. *Front. Immunol.* 14:1081121. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2023.1081121

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Yang, Maskey, Srivastava, Kim, Wang, Musa, Shi, Gong, Fidan, Wang, Dunkin, Chung, Zhan, Miao, Sampson and Li. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Inhibition of pathologic immunoglobulin E in food allergy by EBF-2 and active compound berberine associated with immunometabolism regulation

Nan Yang^{1,2*}, Anish R. Maskey², Kamal Srivastava^{1,2}, Monica Kim³, Zixi Wang⁴, Ibrahim Musa², Yanmei Shi⁵, Yixuan Gong⁶, Ozkan Fidan^{7,8}, Julie Wang³, David Dunkin³, Danna Chung⁹, Jixun Zhan⁷, Mingsan Miao⁵, Hugh A. Sampson³ and Xiu-Min Li^{2,10*}

¹General Nutraceutical Technology, Elmsford, NY, United States, ²Department of Pathology, Microbiology and Immunology, New York Medical College, Valhalla, NY, United States, ³Department of Pediatrics, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, United States, ⁴Department of Allergy, Peking Union Medical College Hospital, Beijing, China, ⁵Academy of Chinese Medicine Sciences, Henan University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Zhengzhou, Henan, China, ⁶Division of Hematology and Medical Oncology, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, United States, ⁷Department of Biological Engineering, Utah State University, Logan, UT, United States, ⁸Department of Bioengineering, Abdullah Gul University, Kayseri, Türkiye, ⁹Department of Medicine, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, United States, ¹⁰Department of Otolaryngology, New York Medical College, Valhalla, NY, United States

Introduction: Food allergy is a significant public health problem with limited treatment options. As Food Allergy Herbal Formula 2 (FAHF-2) showed potential as a food allergy treatment, we further developed a purified version named EBF-2 and identified active compounds. We investigated the mechanisms of EBF-2 on IgE-mediated peanut (PN) allergy and its active compound, berberine, on IgE production.

Methods: IgE plasma cell line U266 cells were cultured with EBF-2 and FAHF-2, and their effects on IgE production were compared. EBF-2 was evaluated in a murine PN allergy model for its effect on PN-specific IgE production, number of IgE⁺ plasma cells, and PN anaphylaxis. Effects of berberine on IgE production, the expression of transcription factors, and mitochondrial glucose metabolism in U266 cells were evaluated.

Results: EBF-2 dose-dependently suppressed IgE production and was over 16 times more potent than FAHF-2 in IgE suppression in U266 cells. EBF-2 significantly suppressed PN-specific IgE production (70%, p<0.001) and the number of IgE-producing plasma cells in PN allergic mice, accompanied by 100% inhibition of PN-induced anaphylaxis and plasma histamine release (p<0.001) without affecting IgG1 or IgG2a production. Berberine markedly suppressed IgE production, which was associated with suppression of XBP1, BLIMP1, and STAT6 transcription factors and a reduced rate of mitochondrial oxidation in an IgE-producing plasma cell line.

Conclusions: EBF-2 and its active compound berberine are potent IgE suppressors, associated with cellular regulation of immunometabolism on IgE plasma cells, and may be a potential therapy for IgE-mediated food allergy and other allergic disorders.

KEYWORDS

berberine, IgE, food allergy, metabolism, anaphylactic allergic reaction

Introduction

Food allergy (FA) has rapidly increased over the past 2 decades affecting 32 million Americans, with annual costs of \$25 billion (1–9). FA anaphylaxis, a potentially life-threatening condition, increased 200-400% in toddlers to teens (10) accounting for up to 81% of pediatric anaphylaxis (1). Peanut allergies are lifelong and cause severe reactions and there is currently no cure (1, 10-15). Common treatments, such as prophylactic-food avoidance, or therapeutic-food allergen oral immunotherapy (OIT) are limited and impractical (16-22). Therefore, there is a significant need for safe, effective, and nonfood restricted therapeutics. FA is primarily mediated by food protein specific immunoglobulin E (sIgE) (23). IgE-producing long-lived plasma cells (IgE⁺LPC) cause "lifelong allergy" (24-26). Persistent IgE is a significant barrier to FA mitigation. Omalizumab, an anti-IgE antibody, "traps" IgE but does not target its production. OIT, including Palforzia[®], does not decrease IgE production, but in fact may paradoxically increase IgE levels, carrying a significant immune reaction risk (27-32). Therefore, a safe and effective therapy that targets excess IgE production represents an important strategy for food allergy treatment.

In recent years, a substantial number of findings have been made in the area of immunometabolism, the changes in intracellular metabolic pathways in immune cells that alter their function (33). Glycolysis is one of the major metabolic pathways involved in immune cell regulation. Immunoglobulins are glycoproteins that are produced, glycosylated, and secreted in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER), requiring energy and metabolites. Mitochondria are a highly efficient organelle for fueling the ER through ATP consumption *via* oxidation (respiration) (34). Alternative energy (ATP) production is *via* glycolysis though pyruvate. However, this pathway generates less ATP than mitochondrial oxidation (3 vs. 31 ATP molecules). IgE is the most heavily glycosylated isotype, with sugar moieties accounting for 12-14% of its molecular weight compared to approximately 3% for IgG (35–38). As more than 90% of glucose uptake in plasma cells (PCs) is utilized for antibody glycosylation, IgE⁺PCs face greater metabolic stress compared to other isotype PCs. It was reported that inhibition of glycosylation essentially shuts down IgE release while IgG is largely unaffected (39, 40). Plasma cells require high energy and are regulated by specific transcription factors. XBP1 expression, which is high in plasma cells, is important for plasma cell differentiation (41) and secretory function (42, 43). XBP1 promotes gene expression involved in mitochondrial and ER biogenesis (44) and is required for antibody generation of heavy and light chain (IgH/IgL) transcripts (45). Loss of XBP-1 is associated with complete absence of plasma cells and circulating immunoglobulins (46, 47). This is due to inefficient processing and exportation of immunoglobulins and accumulation of unfolded proteins further contributing to ER stress (48).Thus, modulating XBP1 and mitochondrial glucose metabolism may affect IgE⁺ PC antibody production and secretion (44), but direct evidence is limited.

Like FA, immunologic responses in parasite infections are associated with excessive production of IgE. FAHF-2 derived from Fructus mume formula, which has been used to treat parasite infection traditionally, showed a reduction of peanut specific IgE and protected against anaphylaxis in murine models of peanut allergy (49-53), suggesting a possible FA treatment. A new purification method was developed using ethyl acetate and butanol to concentrate active ingredients. The objective of this study is to investigate the potency of EBF-2 on IgE production in vitro and in vivo, and to understand underlying mechanisms on immunometabolism regulation of IgE producing cells. We first compared the effects of EBF-2 and FAHF-2 on IgE production across-multiple batches using IgE producing plasma cells and chromatographic approaches. We also assessed EBF-2's effects on PN-specific IgE production, IgE⁺PC count, and anaphylactic symptoms in a murine peanut allergy model. Furthermore, we investigated the effect of the bioactive compound berberine (BBR) on XBP1 expression and mitochondrial metabolism in a human IgE⁺PC line.

Material and methods

EBF-2 constituents, and production

EBF-2 was generated by purification of FAHF-2 with a safe solvents consisting of butanol and ethyl acetate (52). 8 herb constituents (*Prunus mume, Zanthoxylum schinifolium, Angelica sinensis, Zingiber officinalis, Cinnamomum cassia, Phellodendron chinense, Coptis chinensis, and Panax ginseng*) were extracted using butanol, while the *Ganoderma lucidum* was extracted using ethyl

Abbreviations: FAHF-2, Food Allergy Herbal Formula 2; PN, Peanut; DMSO, Dimethyl sulfoxide; HPLC, High performance liquid chromatography; EBF-2, Ethyl acetate and butanol purified FAHF-2; BBR, berberine; FA, food allergy; IgE⁺PC, IgE plasma cells; PNA: peanut allergy; TCM, Traditional Chinese Medicine; wk (s), week or weeks; i.g., intragastrically; SPC (s), splenocyte (s); CPE, crude peanut extract; Ab, antibody; CT, cholera toxin; ER, Endoplasmic reticulum; XBP1, X-box binding protein; BLIMP, B lymphocyte-induced maturation protein; STAT6, Signal Transducer and Activator of Transcription.

acetate and the dried extracts were combined to generate the EBF-2 powder substance. Three batches of FAHF-2 and EBF-2 were tested in this study-manufacturing date and shelf life are listed in Supplemental Table 1. Botanical information for individual herbs, including geographical location, harvest season, pre-processing, heavy metal and pesticide residues, and quality control methods, have been published previously (54).

Cell culture and IgE measurement

The IgE-producing human plasma cell line U266 (ATCC, MD) was grown in complete media containing RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 10% FBS, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 1×10^{-5} M β -ME and 0.5% penicillin-streptomycin (55, 56) at 2×10^4 cells/mL in 48 well plates. Three batches of FAHF-2 and 3 batches of EBF-2 at serial dilution concentrations starting at 500 µg/mL and 120 µg/mL, respectively, were used in culture for 6 days. Berberine (purity>98%, Sigma Aldrich, St Louis, MO) at serial concentrations starting at 5 µg/mL were also tested on U266 cells. Supernatants were harvested, IgE levels were determined by ELISA (Mabtech Inc, OH) and cell viability was evaluated by trypan blue exclusion (55).

Mice, peanut sensitization, and EFB-2 treatment

Six-week-old female C3H/HeJ mice purchased from the Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME) were maintained in pathogen-free facilities at the Mount Sinai vivarium according to standard guidelines (57). Mice were intragastrically (i.g.) sensitized with 10 mg of homogenized peanut (PN) in 0.5 mL PBS containing 75 mg sodium bicarbonate, 10 µg of the mucosal adjuvant cholera toxin (CT) (List Laboratories, Campbell, CA), and 16.5 μ L (1.1 μ L/g body weight) of 80 proof Stolichnaya Vodka[®] (a source of food grade ethanol) to neutralize stomach pH and increase gastrointestinal permeability, three times during week 0 (50). Thereafter, sensitization was done weekly as above except that the CT dose given was 20 µg. The boosting dose of 50 mg PN was given at weeks 6 and 8 using the same gavage solution as in weeks 1 through 5. These mice were defined as peanut allergic (PNA) mice. One day following the last boost, at which hypersensitivity was developed (58), PNA mice received EBF-2 treatment at 3.84 mg in 0.5 mL drinking water, twice a day for 4 weeks. One week after completing the treatment, mice were challenged with ground peanut (200 mg) i.g. and again 4 weeks later. Anaphylactic reactions were accessed (Figure 1A). Sham treated PNA mice and naïve mice (unsensitized/ untreated) were used as disease and normal controls, respectively. In a separate experiment, to determine the persistent impact of EBF-2 on IgE producing cells by flowcytometry analysis, EBF-2 treated, and sham treated PNA mice received periodic oral exposure of either boiled (10 mg/mouse) or roasted peanut (200 mg/mouse) approximately every 10-15 weeks. Mice were terminated using ketamine/xylazine euthanasia protocol. Briefly, mice were given over-dose (15µL/g body weight) of ketamine-xylazine mixture (100mg/mL and 10 mg/mL respectively) intraperitoneally. After the mice were in deep anesthetics, blood samples were collected, and mice were sacrificed by cervical dislocation after which tissue samples were collected. All animal experiments were approved and performed according to the instruction and guidelines of the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) of Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai."

TABLE 1	In vivo	sub-chronic	safety	assessment	of EBF-2.
---------	---------	-------------	--------	------------	-----------

	Treatment (5		
	Water (n=5)	EBF-2 (n=5)	Reference range
Morbidity	0/10	0/10	NA
Mortality	0/10	0/10	NA
ALT (U/L)	32.6±12.4	55.8±27.7	28-129
BUN (mg/dL)	18.8±3.5	23.2±1.9	7.0-28
WBC (10 ³ /µL)	5.1±1.1	6.2±1.8	3.9-13.9
RBC (10 ³ /µL)	10.4±0.7	9.9±0.9	7.14-12.2
Hb (g/dL)	13.3±1.1	12.4±1.2	10.8-19.2
PLT (10 ³ /µL)	645.6±243.2	923.6±483.2	565-2159
Neu (10 ³ /µL)	1.3±0.3	1.7±0.6	0.42-3.09
L (10 ³ /µL)	3.3±0.9	3.4±0.9	2.88-11.15
Eos (10 ³ /µL)	0.1±0.0	0.1±0.0	0.01-0.50
Bas (10 ³ /µL)	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0	0-0.14

Naive mice were fed a therapeutic dose 5 times daily for 14 days. Sham fed mice served as controls (sham). Blood samples were collected after termination of experiments. Blood urea nitrogen (BUN) and alanine aminotransferase (ALT) measurements for evaluation of kidney and liver functions, respectively, and complete blood count (CBC) testing were performed. ALT, Alanine Aminotransferase; BUN, Blood Urea Nitrogen; WBC, White Blood Cells; RBC, Red Blood Cells; Hb, Hemoglobin; PLT, Platelets; Neu, Neutrophils; L, Lymphocytes; Eos, Eosinophils; Bas, Basophils; NA, Not available.

Assessment of hypersensitivity reactions

Symptoms were evaluated 30-40 minutes following oral PN challenge as described previously (51, 59), and symptoms were scored utilizing the scoring system ranging from 0 (no reaction) to 5 (fatal reactions), described previously (59). Rectal temperatures were also measured immediately after scoring using a rectal probe (Harvard Apparatus, Holliston, MA).

Measurement of plasma histamine levels

Blood samples were collected *via* sub-mandibular bleeding 30 minutes after scoring and measurement of body temperature following oral peanut challenge. Plasma was harvested within 20 minutes after blood collection and stored at -80°C until analyzed. Histamine was measured using a commercial enzyme immunoassay kit (Fisher Scientific, NJ) as described by the manufacturer (58).

Measurement of serum peanut specific-IgE, IgG1 and IgG2a

PN-specific-IgE, IgG1 and IgG2a in serum was measured as reported previously (50, 51, 59). Briefly, microtiter plates were coated with peanut extract (sample wells), anti-mouse IgE (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, for IgE reference wells), or DNP-HSA (Sigma-Aldrich for IgG2a and IgG1 reference wells) and incubated overnight at 4° C. Subsequently, the plates were blocked with 2% BSA-PBS after washing. Washed plates were incubated with diluted serum samples, mouse IgE (BD Biosciences), anti-DNP-IgG2a, or anti-DNP-IgG1 (Accurate Antibodies, Westbury, NY) overnight at 4°C and later developed by using biotinylated anti-IgE, IgG2a or IgG1 detection antibodies (BD Biosciences), avidin-peroxide, and ABTS substrate (KPL, St Paul, MN).

Flow cytometry analysis of IgE producing plasma cells

Mice were sacrificed at week 78 of the protocol and single-cell suspensions of splenocytes were prepared in ice cold staining buffer (PBS including 0.5 mM EDTA, 0.05 mM sodium azide, 0.5% BSA). First, surface staining with unlabeled anti-IgE (to block membrane IgE), APC anti-CD138, BV711-anti-CD3, and anti-CD16/32 (Fcblock) (all from BD Biosciences), CA was performed. Live-dead discriminating dye (Live-Dead Aqua, Invitrogen, CA) was included. Cells were washed and incubated with fixation/permeabilization buffer (BD Biosciences, CA) for 15 mins, washed with permeabilization buffer (BD Biosciences, CA), and then incubated with FITC-anti-IgE, in permeabilization buffer. After washing, cells were treated with Cytofix buffer (BD Biosciences, CA) for 15 mins for post-fixation, washed, and then data were acquired on an LSRII flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson, CA). Flow cytometry analysis was performed using Flow Jo (Tree Star, CA) as follows. Live singlet cells were then analyzed for IgE+ plasma cells (FITC-IgE +; APC-CD138+ cells).

Safety testing of EBF-2 in a mouse model

To evaluate the safety of EBF-2, the sub-chronic toxicity assay was performed on C3H/HeJ mice as in our previous studies (52). Naïve C3H/HeJ mice were fed 40 mg/mL of EBF-2, which is 5 times the daily therapeutic dose, for 14 days. Sham (water) fed mice served as controls (sham). Blood samples were collected at the end of the experiment. Liver and kidney function and complete blood count (CBC) were performed by ALX laboratories, NY.

High performance liquid chromatography fingerprint analysis of EBF-2 and ex vivo detecting EBF-2 active compound by liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry

HPLC analysis was performed on a Waters 2690 HPLC system coupled with a 2996 PDA detector (Waters, Milford, MA) for each batch of FAHF-2 and EBF-2 using the method described previously (52). Each sample was first dissolved in 2 mL of the mixture of mobile phases at a 1:1 ratio and centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 10 mins. The sample amount injected for HPLC fingerprint analysis was based on the human daily dose used (1:200 of human daily dose). FAHF-2's human daily dosage is 19.8g, therefore the concentration of FAHF-2 injected was 99mg/mL. For EBF-2, the human daily dose is 4.58g, therefore the concentration of EBF-2 injected to HPLC was 22.9mg/ mL. 10 μ L of the supernatant was injected into the HPLC system and separated on a ZORBAX SB-C18 (5 µm, 150 mm x 4.6 mm, column (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA). Aqueous formic acid (0.1%) was used as mobile phase A, while acetonitrile (Fisher Scientific, NJ) served as mobile phase B. The separation was performed using a linear gradient elution of 2% to 25% mobile phase B in 45 min, 25% to 35% in the following 25 mins, 35% to 55% in the next 15 mins, and 55% to 75% in the final 10 mins. The flowrate was maintained at 1 mL/min. Data was collected and processed using Waters Empower software.

EBF-2 active compound in tissue samples were analyzed using LC-MS system. Briefly, tissue samples were cut into small pieces and soaked in methanol. The extracts were analyzed on an Agilent 1200 HPLC instrument with an Agilent Eclipse Plus-C18 column (5 μ m, 250 mm \times 4.6 mm), coupled with an Agilent 6130 Single Quad Mass Spectrometry. The samples were eluted with acetonitrile-water (5-95%) containing 0.1% formic acid (v/v) over 100 mins.

Real time polymerase chain reaction

U266 cells $(1.0 \times 10^{6} \text{ cells/mL})$ were incubated with or without berberine for 3 days. Cells were harvested and total RNA was isolated using Trizol (Gibco BRL, Rockville, MD). The RNA concentrations were quantified by triplicate optical density (OD) readings (Bio-Rad SmartSpect 3000; Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). Reverse transcription was performed to yield cDNA using ImProm-IITM Reverse Transcriptase (Promega Corporation, Madison, WI) as per the manufacturer's instructions. The RT-PCR amplification was performed using MaximaTM SYBR Green qPCR Master Mix (2X) kit (Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA). Primer sequences of XBP1, BLIMP1, STAT-6, BCL-6 and GAPDH were from previously published literature and listed in Supplemental Table 2 (55, 60–62).

Seahorse mitochondrial stress assay

To determine the effect of EBF-2's active compound berberine on mitochondrial metabolism of an IgE producing plasma cell line, we used the Seahorse mitochondrial stress assay. XF cell Mito stress test kits were obtained from Agilent (Santa Clara, CA). Each well of the XF24 cell culture plates was coated with 50 µL of Corning Cell-Tak cell and tissue adhesive at a density of $3.5 \,\mu\text{g/cm}^2$ for 20 mins followed by washing with 200 μL water and 20 min of drying. Fresh assay medium was prepared by supplementing 2 mM glutamine into XF cell base medium, DMEM with an adjusted pH of 7.4. Next, 1×10^5 of U266 cells resuspended in 100 µL of assay medium were seeded into each well of the coated plate by centrifugation in a swing-bucket rotor at 450 rpm for 1 min without braking. After reversing the orientation of the plates, they were centrifuged again at 650 rpm for 1 min without braking. Plates were transferred to a 37°C incubator not supplemented with CO2 and incubated for 25-30 mins. Then, 500 µL of warm assay medium, containing DMSO or various concentrations of BBR was slowly and gently added into the wells. After a 15 mins CO₂-free incubation, the cells were ready for the assay on a Seahorse XFe24 Analyzer. Oligomycin (3 µM final concentration), carbonyl cyanide-4 (trifluoromethoxy) phenylhydrazone (FCCP, 3 µM final concentration) and rotenone and antimycin (3 μ M and 1 μ M final concentration, respectively) were diluted in the assay medium and loaded into ports A, B, and C of the XF24 assay plate. The machine was calibrated, and the assay was performed using the Mito stress test assay protocol per the manufacturer's recommendations. The extracellular acidification rate (ECAR) and oxygen consumption rate (OCR) were measured under basal conditions after sequential addition of the above-mentioned drugs.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 9 (San Diego, CA). One-way ANOVA (analysis of variance) was performed followed by Bonferroni correction for all pairwise comparisons. For skewed data, differences between groups were analyzed by one-way ANOVA on ranks followed by Dunn's method for all pairwise comparisons. Data for symptom score correlation with IgE were analyzed using Spearman correlation. Pearson correlation was

used for all other correlation analyses. p-value calculations were twotailed and a p value < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

Results

EBF-2 dose-dependently inhibited IgE production in an IgE plasma cell line

As compared to the untreated cells, EBF-2 significantly decreased IgE production beginning at 1.9 µg/mL (p<0.05) with complete inhibition of IgE production at 60 μ g/mL (p<0.001) (Figure 1A). The IC₅₀ value was $4.70 \pm 1.16 \,\mu$ g/mL (Figure 1B). There was no observed cytotoxicity at any tested concentrations (Figure 1C). The parent formula FAHF-2 also significantly decreased IgE production at 62.5 µg/mL (p<0.01) with complete inhibition of IgE production at 500 µg/mL(p<0.001) (Figure S1A). The IC₅₀ value of the parent formula FAHF-2 was 79.7 \pm 17.39 µg/ mL (Figure S1B), with no cytotoxic effect across all concentration (Figure S1C). Furthermore, we analyzed the effect of three different batches of FAHF-2 (F2-1106, F2-0202, F2-0909) (Figures S2A-C) and EBF-2 (EBF-2-0303, EBF-2-0808, EBF-2-0130) (Figures S2D-F) on IgE production by U266 cells respectively and found consistent results between different batches. Taken together, EBF-2 is markedly more inhibitory on IgE production than its parent formula while retaining high cellular safety and batch to batch consistency

EBF-2 treatment suppressed peanut anaphylaxis associated with suppression of peanut specific-IgE without affecting IgG1 or IgG2a production

We next determined EBF-2's inhibitory effect on IgE levels and its protective effect against peanut anaphylaxis in a murine model (Figure 2A). Four weeks after discontinuation of EBF-2 treatment (at week 14 following initial PN sensitization), peanut (PN)-specific IgE levels were significantly reduced by approximately 70% in EBF-2 treated mice compared to sham treated mice (Figure 2B, p<0.05 vs. Sham). Following intragastric challenge, all sham-treated mice developed anaphylactic symptoms, with symptom severity scores ranging from 2-3. In sharp contrast, EBF-2 treated mice were completely protected



FIGURE 1

Inhibitory effect of EBF-2 on IgE production by plasma cell line U266 cells. (A) U266 cells were treated with EBF-2 at different concentrations and cultured for 6 days. Supernatants were collected, and IgE levels were determined by ELISA. (B) IC_{50} values for EBF-2 were calculated to be $IC50=4.70\pm1.16 \,\mu$ g/mL. $IC_{50}=4.70\pm1.16 \,\mu$ g/mL (C) Cell viability was measured by trypan blue excursion showed no cell cytotoxicity. IgE levels are expressed as Mean \pm SEM, and significance is indicated by *p \leq 0.05, **p \leq 0.01, and ***p \leq 0.001 as compared to the untreated control. N=9 independent cultures over 3 batches.



FIGURE 2

Effect of EBF-2 in a peanut allergic mouse model. (A) Experimental design for sensitization, treatment, and challenge: 6 weeks old C3H/HeJ mice were orally sensitized with 10 mg PN and 10-20 µg cholera toxin at weeks 0 through 5. Mice were boosted with 50 mg PN and 20 µg cholera toxin at weeks 6 and 8. Daily oral EBF-2 treatment (7.68mg/mouse/day) started at week 5 and continued for four weeks. Mice underwent oral PN challenges (200mg) at week 10 and week 14. (B) PN specific IgE measured by ELISA at week 14. (C) Symptom scores; (D) Body temperatures and (E) Plasma histamine levels 30 mints following oral PN challenge at week 14. (F) PN-specific IgG1; and (G) PN-specific IgG2a were measured by ELISA. (H) Spearman correlation between PN-IgE and symptom scores, (J) Pearson correlation between PN-IgE and Body temperature. (I) Pearson correlation between PN-IgE and plasma histamine Bars indicate group means. *P < 0.05; ***P < 0.001 vs. Sham. N=4-5 mice/group.

from anaphylaxis (Figure 2C, EBF-2 vs. Sham: median score 0 vs. 2, p < 0.05). Hypothermia, a decrease in core body temperature, is a symptom of anaphylaxis in mice. We measured rectal temperatures every 30 minutes after the intragastric challenge, EBF-2 prevented hypothermia, the mean post-challenge body temperature in EBF-2 group was significantly higher than the Sham group and not different from naïve mice (Figure 2D, sham vs. EBF-2 vs. naïve: 35.18±0.3°C vs. 37.33±0.2°C vs. 37.33±0.2°C, p<0.001 vs. sham). Anaphylaxis is associated with an increase in plasma histamine levels and plasma histamine levels in EBF-2 mice were markedly and significantly lower than in sham mice (Figure 2E Sham vs. EBF-2 mean±SEM: 14,134±1004 nM vs. 1689±340 nM). The EBF-2 treated group's plasma histamine level was not significantly different from the normal range of histamine levels in the naïve group (1392±213 nM). PN-specific IgG1 and PNspecific IgG2a production were not affected (Figures 2F, G). In this model, symptom severity and plasma histamine levels strongly correlated with IgE levels (Figures 2H, I, r=0.74, p <0.01; r=0.81, p <0.001, respectively), whereas body temperatures at challenge were inversely correlated with IgE (Figure 2J, r=-0.73, p < 0.01).

EBF-2 treatment produced long term protection from peanut anaphylaxis and reduced IgE⁺ plasma cell numbers

We investigated the long-term protection by EBF-2 and its effect on long-lived IgE producing plasma cells in a peanut anaphylaxis murine

model (Figure 3A). EBF-2 significantly reduced PN-specific IgE during therapy (weeks 8-26) (p<0.001) and maintained consistent levels even after completion of the treatment, up to week 78 (p<0.01, p<0.001) (Figure 3B). Post-treatment challenges conducted at week 30, week 40 and week 70, respectively, showed that EBF-2 treated mice were completely protected from anaphylaxis (Figure 3C, EBF-2 vs Sham, p<0.05), prevented hypothermia (Figure 3D, p<0.001), and reduced plasma histamine levels (Figure 3E, p<0.001). Furthermore, EBF-2 treated mice showed significantly lower numbers of the IgE⁺/CD138+ plasma cells from the spleen compared to Sham treated mice (Figures 3F, G, p<0.001). There was a significant correlation between IgE+/CD138+ plasma cells and PN-specific IgE levels (Figure 3H, r=0.84, p< 0.0001). These data highlight the persistent protection of EBF-2 from anaphylaxis following peanut exposure.

EBF-2 formula had a high safety profile

EBF-2's safety was evaluated with a sub-chronic toxicity protocol. C3H/HeJ mice were fed 5 times the normal daily dose of EBF-2 for 14 consecutive days and observed for 2 weeks. No morbidity or mortality was observed. Serum ALT and BUN levels of EBF2 and sham-treated mice were all within normal range (Table 1). CBC results in the EBF-2 treated group were similar to those of sham treated mice and were all within the normal range. Thus, the EBF-2 formula has a high safety profile.



Long term effect of EBF-2 on peanut-specific IgE, anaphylaxis and IgE⁺PC. (A) Experimental protocol. (B) Effect of EBF-2 on percent inhibition of PN-specific IgE over duration of the experiment. (C–E) symptoms scores, body temperature and plasma histamine levels 30 minutes following challenges at weeks 30, 40 and 70. (F) Representative flow cytometry panels showing the percentage of IgE+/CD138+ PCs (upper right quadrant) in spleens of mice in sham, EBF-2, and naïve groups. (G) Scatter graph showing data for individual mice across experimental groups shown in (F) Bars are group means. (H) Correlation between IgE+/CD138+ plasma cells and PN-specific IgE levels in mice across all experimental groups. r value is the Pearson coefficient of correlation. *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.01 vs sham vs. sham. N=5 mice/group. PC, plasma cells.

HPLC fingerprints reveal a higher berberine peak in EBF-2 than FAHF-2 and detecting BBR ex vivo after feeding a single dose of EBF-2 by LC-MS

We previously showed that berberine (BBR) isolated from FAHF-2 and B-FAHF-2 reduced IgE by plasma cell lines and human PBMCs from food allergic patients (55), and demonstrated that the concentration of BBR can be a pharmacological marker of FAHF-2 and EBF-2. We therefore determined the concentration of BBR in EBF-2 and compared with parent formula FAHF-2 (Figure 4A). A total of 29 peaks (P) were detected in FAHF-2 and EBF-2 batches. The major peak 13 (P13) was identified as BBR (Figure 4B). The peak area of BBR (Mean ± SED) in EBF-2 was significantly higher than that in FAHF-2 (62.83 \pm 3.53% vs $33.26 \pm 6.40\%$ overall total peaks *p*<0.05 (Supplemental Table 3). We have identified other peaks such as Magnoflorine (P6), Phellodendrine (P8), Jatrorrhizine (P12), Ganolucidic acid D (P18), and Ganoderic acid H (P27), but the differences between these peaks were not statistically significant. After the purification process, the constituents (less polar small molecules) in EBF-2 were more concentrated. We also calculated the BBR concentration by using the equation collected from the peak area of BBR standard versus the concentration (BBR concentration (μ g/mL) = BBR pear area/1000/72.23). The BBR in FAHF-2 was calculated as 0.36%, while the EBF-2 contains 4.4% of BBR. The BBR concentration was approximately 12 times higher in EBF2 than in FAHF-2 (data not shown). We detected BBR in liver and fat tissue 5 days after oral administration of EBF-2 by LC-MS and demonstrated that BBR is a major bioavailable compound within EBF-2 when compared to naïve mice (Figure 4C).

EBF-2 bioactive compound BBR inhibited IgE production and transcription factor XBP1, BLIMP1, and STAT6, and increased BCL-6 by IgE producing plasma cell

We evaluated the active compound BBR identified from EBF-2 and determined its effect on the regulation of IgE plasma cells at the transcriptional level, in vitro using U266 cells. BBR dose-dependently inhibited IgE production approaching 100% inhibition at 5 µg/mL (Figure 5A), without any cytotoxicity across the doses (0.625 – 5 μ g/ mL) (Figure 5B) with an IC₅₀ value 1.946 μ g/mL (Figure 5C). We evaluated BBR's effects on the gene expression of XBP1, BLIMP1 and STAT-6, which are genes that have been shown to be upregulated during plasma cell activation. BBR significantly inhibits the gene expression of XBP1, BLIMP1 and STAT6 compared to untreated cells (p <0.01, Figure 5D). BCL-6 reportedly inhibits long-lived plasma cell survival, and it has been shown to be upregulated in plasma cell activation. Therefore, we measured the effect of BBR on the gene expression of BCL-6, however our results showed that the increase expression of BCL-6 gene was not statistically significant. Taken together, we showed that the suppression of IgE in IgE⁺ plasma B cells by BBR is mediated by down-regulation of XBP1 and BLIMP1.



FIGURE 4

Characterization of FAHF-2 and EBF-2 products. (A) HPLC fingerprint of FAHF-2 and EBF-2. The x-axis indicates retention time in minutes, while the yaxis indicates an absorbance unit (AU). (B) Chemical structure of Berberine. (C) The presence of berberine in the liver and fat tissue samples of mice treated with E-B-FAHF-2. Mice were fed with the EBF-2 formula, and tissue samples were collected 5 days after oral administration. Mass spectra of the berberine standard; m/z: 336.2 was detected. Berberine presented in the liver of EBF-2 treated mice. No berberine was detected in liver samples of naïve mice. Berberine presented in fat tissue samples of EBF-2 treated mice. No berberine was detected in fat tissue samples of naïve are representative of 3-5 samples.



FIGURE 5

Effect of BBR on IgE production and transcription factor gene expression in U266 cells. (A) BBR dose-dependently inhibited the IgE production by U266 cells. (B) Cell viability of BBR on U266 cells. (C). C_{50} value of BBR on IgE production was 1.946 μ g/mL. (D) The relative expression level of XBP1, STAT6, BLIMP1, and BCL-6 genes vs. GAPDH. **p<0.001; ***p<0.001 vs. untreated. N=3 independent culture.

Berberine, a major active compound in EBF-2, inhibited IgE producing plasma cell mitochondrial metabolism

Plasma cells have a nutrient uptake and energy demand for the production and secretion of antibodies compared to their counterparts of B cells and plasmablasts (44). Emerging evidence suggests that glucose availability and energy metabolism are important for regulating plasma cell antibody production, secretion and survival at post-transcriptional levels (44, 63). Traditionally, BBR has been used as a glucose lowering agent in Type II diabetes through inhibition of mitochondrial respiratory complex I (64-67). We next asked whether BBR disrupts energy metabolism and changes glucose utilization in IgE plasma cell line U266 by a seahorse Mito stress assay. The assay measures mitochondrial respiration and function glycolysis by directly measuring OCR (Oxygen Consumption Rate) and ECAR (Extracellular Acidification Rate). Vehicle treated U266 cells were used to establish some key parameters of mitochondrial function (Figure 6A) including basal respiration, proton leak (after oligomycin injection, which inhibits ATP production through complex V), maximal respiration capacity (after injecting FCCP, which uncouples ATP production from electron transport), and non-mitochondrial respiration (after injecting complex I and III inhibitors rotenone and antimycin A). Interestingly, BBR pretreatment significantly suppressed basal OCR (p<0.05) and FCCP-induced maximal OCR (p<0.001) in a dose-dependent manners, with almost complete inhibition of mitochondrial respiration at 3 µg/mL and 10 µg/mL, respectively. To compensate for energy crisis resulted from mitochondrial respiratory inhibition, BBR-treated U266 cells increased the rate of glycolysis to increase ATP production from glycolysis pathway, as indicated by significantly elevated ECAR (Figure 6B, BBR at 10 µg/mL vs. untreated cells, p<0.05). These results suggest BBR inhibits mitochondrial respiration in IgE⁺PCs, which lead to cellular energy crisis and decreased the availability of glucose molecules for other pathways such as IgE Ab glycosylation.

Discussion

IgE plays a key pathogenic role in FA-related hypersensitivity. Enthusiasm about IgE regulatory interventions for FA therapy remains high yet, interventions to modulate IgE⁺PCs remain undeveloped. We tested direct effects of EBF-2, a refinement of parent formula FAHF-2, on IgE production using U266 cells, a well-established IgE+ plasma cell line. We demonstrated the consistent inhibition of IgE production with EBF-2 across 3 separate batches, without cytotoxicity, and more potently than parent FAHF-2. EBF-2 was 16-fold more potent than parent formula FAHF-2, suggesting a superior effect compared to the parent formula. Using a PN allergic murine model, we demonstrated that EBF-2 decreased PN-specific IgE levels by ~70% following 4 weeks of oral treatment compared to sham-treated mice in an early EBF-2 treatment protocol, with no significant changes in PN-specific IgG1 or IgG2a levels and demonstrated a high safety profile. EBF-2 significantly protected PN allergic mice from anaphylaxis with an 8.5-fold lower daily dose than parent FAHF-2 (68), highlighting its efficacy and potency. The mechanism underlying this persistent therapeutic effect is unknown but may be due to suppression of long-lived IgE⁺PCs. Using a PN allergy model, we showed that the percentages of IgE⁺PCs, largely LLPCs at this 8-week post antigen exposure timepoint, were significantly reduced in EBF-2 treated mice and correlated with the peanut-IgE levels. This is important because these IgE+PCs, which are LLPCs known to resist immunosuppressive or ablative therapies (24, 25, 69, 70), showed significant reduction following EBF-2 treatment. This indicates that EBF-2 may have a potential to alter the process of persistent peanut allergy, but this requires further investigation.

As a first attempt to understand EBF-2 suppression of IgE⁺PCs and given that BBR is found at higher levels in EBF-2 than in FAHF-2 by HPLC, we identified BBR as a bioavailable compound within EBF-2 by LC-MS analysis following oral feeding. This provides a rationale to study BBR as a bioavailable active compound to regulate IgE production. We showed that BBR suppressed IgE production by a



FIGURE 6

Effect of BBR on mitochondrial respiration rate in U266 cells. (A) U266 cells were pre-treated with DMSO or the indicated concentration of BBR for 15 min. Oligomycin (3 μ M, inhibitor of mitochondrial complex V), FCCP (4 μ M, stimulator of mitochondrial complex IV), and combination of rotenone (3 μ M) and antimycin A (1 μ M) (inhibitors of mitochondrial complex I and III, respectively) were injected at the indicated time per manufacturer instructions. The oxygen consumption rate (OCR) was recorded over time. (B) BBR dose-dependently increased basal glycolytic rate 15 min after treatment. Data represents triplicate cultures and is expressed as mean \pm SEM. *p <0.05; **P<0.01; ***p<0.001 vs. untreated.

human IgE producing plasma cell line in a dose-dependent manner. Since no cytotoxicity was observed even at the dose (5 µg/mL) which BBR eliminated IgE production, we hypothesized it may act on mechanisms controlling IgE production and secretion. IgE⁺PCs are under constant stress from antibody production and secretion. XBP1, a transcription factor, promotes and maintains plasma cells antibody production and secretion under ER stress as a compensating response (44, 63). We found a significant reduction of XBP1 gene expression in BBR-treated cells (~ 5-fold reduction) at a non-toxic dose compared to untreated cells. We also found that BBR inhibited BLIMP1, which promotes plasma cell survival (45). In addition, we found that STAT-6 was significantly reduced in a BBR treated IgE⁺ plasma cell. STAT-6 is reported to be mainly involved in cooperating on IL-4-induced upregulation of an IgE germline promoter (71). However, the role of STAT-6 on terminally differentiated IgE⁺PCs has not been reported, requiring further investigation. In contrast, BCL-6, a transcriptional repressor of IgE production (72), tended to be increased. These findings suggest that BBR modulation of XBP1 and other transcription factors may together down-regulate IgE⁺PCs.

In addition to transcriptional regulation, energy metabolism has emerged as an important regulator of plasma cell survival and function (44). Previous studies have shown that long-lived plasma cells (LLPCs) used 90% of glucose to glycosylate antibodies; however, when these cells were under energy stress such as challenged with a mitochondrial inhibitor, they diverted glucose to glycolysis to form pyruvate to support energy production, which was accompanied by a marked decrease in antibody secretion (73). Classically, BBR has been used to treat type II diabetes (74). Suppressing mitochondrial metabolism and promoting glycolysis have been suggested as mechanisms underlying BBR's anti-diabetic effects (66). Here we demonstrate, for the first time, that BBR reduces IgE plasma cell mitochondrial metabolism. We believe that BBR inhibits IgE⁺PC mitochondrial respiration likely forcing IgE⁺PCs to produce ATP through upregulated glycolysis. The metabolic decision point for glucose between glycolysis and hexosamine biosynthesis for glycosylation occurs at fructose-6phosphate, catalyzed by phosphofructokinase (PFK). It is established that a low cellular ATP level allosterically activates the enzyme PFK, one of the rate-limiting enzymes in glycolysis, thus leading to the increase in glycolysis and the subsequent decrease in hexosamine biosynthesis for glycosylation (Figure 7A). Therefore, we feel that for the scope of this paper, showing the >50% increased glycolytic rate after BBR treatment highly likely led to the diversion of glucose away from IgE glycosylation (Figure 7B), potentially causing accumulation of immature (unglycosylated) IgE in the ER and in turn triggering ER stress. Under normal circumstances this triggers up-regulation of XBP1 to compensate for energy depletion. However, this will not happen in the presence of BBR that suppresses XBP1, leading to a cellular energy crisis (Figure 7B). Thus, BBR switches off IgE production from IgE⁺PCs by regulating both transcription factors and mitochondrial metabolism.

In this study, our goal is to test the potency of a refined botanical medicine in murine model of peanut allergy, we therefore orally sensitized using Th2 adjuvant and orally challenged female C3H/HeJ mice. The advantage is that this murine model showed persistent peanut allergy, which allow us to test the durability of EBF-2 on food allergy and study long-lived IgE producing plasma cells. The reason to use female mice is to consider that females are more susceptible to food allergies (75) and have been widely used in food anaphylaxis studies (75-83). Therefore, we intended to use established model. Additional limitation of this study is lack of positive treatment control. At present, there is limited or no peanut therapy showing sustainable protection. Our previous publication showed that the effect of protection again anaphylaxis by peanut oral immunotherapy (OIT) is transient. At 5 weeks post therapy, the reactions returned by 90% of OIT treated mice following peanut challenge (51). Therefore, we included peanut allergic mice treated with water as sham treatment control (equivalent to placebo control in human trials. Since BBR has poor bioavailability,



Role of BBR in regulating energy consumption: (A) Glucose metabolism in normal plasma cell to produce ATP via TCA cycle. (B) BBR treatment decreases mitochondrial respiration, suppresses XBP1, and inhibits glycosylation.

we further are working to develop a technology to encapsulate BBR with nano particle to prevent degradation and enhance absorption in the gastric tract. In future, we intend to investigate nano-BBR intervention on transcription factors and mitochondrial metabolism in murine PN allergic models. Furthermore, in order to conform the direct evidence of BBR effect on IgE glycosylation, glycoproteomic analyses should be considered in future.

In conclusion, this study demonstrated that a novel botanical medicine, EBF-2, significantly and consistently suppressed IgE production and is markedly more potent than its parent formula FAHF-2. EBF-2 significantly suppressed PN specific IgE production with complete protection against anaphylaxis and long-lasting effects associated with suppression of IgE⁺PCs in a murine model. The mechanism of BBR, the active EBF-2 compound in suppressing IgE may be partially associated with its inhibitory effect on XBP1 and mitochondrial metabolism leading to insufficient energy and transcriptional activation for IgE IgH/IgL synthesis and antibody glycosylation. Further understanding of how EBF-2 and BBR regulate established IgE production by IgE⁺ PCs may lead to new interventions to target key mechanisms of IgE-mediated food allergy.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

Ethics statement

The animal study was reviewed and approved by Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai.

Author contributions

NY, ARM, KS, MK, ZW, IM, YS, OF, and YG were significantly involved in conducting experiments, data analysis, and manuscript preparation. JW, DC, DD, and HS were significantly involved in manuscript revision. JZ, MM, and X-ML were significantly involved in study design, data interpretation, and manuscript revision. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This project was partially supported by Winston Wolkoff Fund, the Sherbakova Fund, the DAS fund, and the Parker Foundation to X-ML.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the Weissman family, the Schlessinger family, the Yen family, Millie Wan and Sugiharto Widjaja, the Yee family, Laura Sagerman, the Hutchison family, the Siviglia family, the Rizzuto family, the Robertson-Li family, Paul Ehrlich, Natalia Murakhver, Selena Bluntzer, Alissa Grzybowski and many others for their support and inspiration. We thank Henry Ehrlich for proofreading this manuscript.

Conflict of interest

X-ML received research support to her institution from the National Institutes of Health (NIH)/National Center for Complementary and Alternative Medicine (NCCAM) # 1P01AT002644725-01"Center for Chinese Herbal Therapy (CHT) for Asthma", and grant #1R01AT001495-01A1 and 2R01 AT001495-05A2, NIH/NIAID R43AI148039, Food Allergy Research and Education (FARE), Winston Wolkoff Integrative Medicine Fund for Allergies and Wellness, the Parker Foundation and Henan University of Chinese Medicine; received consultancy fees from FARE and Johnson & Johnson Pharmaceutical Research & Development, L.L.C. Bayer Global Health LLC; received royalties from UpToDate; is an Honorary Professor of Chinese Medical University, Taichung, Taiwan; Henan University of Chinese Medicine Zhengzhou, China, and Professorial Lecture at Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, US; received travel expenses from the NCCAM and FARE; share US patent US7820175B2 (FAHF-2), US10500169B2 (XPP), US10406191B2 (S. Flavescens), US10028985B2 (WL); US11351157B2 (nanoBBR): take compensation from her practice at Center for Integrative Health and Acupuncture PC; US Times Technology Inc is managed by her related party; is a member of General Nutraceutical Technology LLC and Health Freedom LLC. NY received research support from the National Institutes of Health (NIH)/National Center for Complementary and Alternative Medicine (NCCAM), NIH/NIAID R43AI148039, shares US patent: US10500169B2 (XPP), US10406191B2 (S. Flavescens), US10028985B2 (WL); and is a member of General Nutraceutical Technology, LLC, and Health Freedom LLC; receives a salary from General Nutraceutical Technology, LLC. HS shares US patents: US7820175B2 (FAHF-2) and US10406191B2 (S. Flavescens), US10028985B2 (WL); is a medical consultant for General Nutraceutical Technology, LLC. KS shares US patent: US11351157B2 (nanoBBR) and received a salary from General Nutraceutical Technology LLC.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2023.1081121/full#supplementary-material

References

1. Cianferoni A, Muraro A. Food-induced anaphylaxis. Immunol Allergy Clinics North America. (2012) 32(1):165–95. doi: 10.1016/j.iac.2011.10.002

2. Gupta RS, Warren CM, Smith BM, Jiang J, Blumenstock JA, Davis MM, et al. Prevalence and severity of food allergies among US adults. *JAMA Netw Open* (2019) 2(1): e185630. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2018.5630

3. Sasaki M, Koplin JJ, Dharmage SC, Field MJ, Sawyer SM, McWilliam V, et al. Prevalence of clinic-defined food allergy in early adolescence: The SchoolNuts study. J Allergy Clin Immunol (2018) 141(1):391–8.e4. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2017.05.041

4. Dunlop JH, Keet CA. Epidemiology of food allergy. *Immunol Allergy Clinics North America*. (2018) 38(1):13–25. doi: 10.1016/j.iac.2017.09.002

5. Gupta RS, Springston EE, Warrier MR, Smith B, Kumar R, Pongracic J, et al. The prevalence, severity, and distribution of childhood food allergy in the united states. *Pediatrics.jt/>* (2011) 128(1):e9–17. doi: 10.1542/peds.2011-0204

6. Bunyavanich S, Rifas-Shiman SL, Platts-Mills TA, Workman L, Sordillo JE, Gillman MW, et al. Peanut allergy prevalence among school-age children in a US cohort not selected for any disease. J Allergy Clin Immunol (2014) 134(3):753–5. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2014.05.050

7. Gupta R, Holdford D, Bilaver L, Dyer A, Holl JL, Meltzer D. The economic impact of childhood food allergy in the united states. *JAMA pediatrics*. (2013) 167(11):1026–31. doi: 10.1001/jamapediatrics.2013.2376

8. Gupta R, Holdford D, Bilaver L, Dyer A, Meltzer D. The high economic burden of childhood food allergy in the united states. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* (2013) 131(2):AB223. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2012.12.1464

9. FARE. Food allergy research and education. In: *Facts and statistics*. Available at: https://www.foodallergy.org/resources/facts-and-statistics.

10. Motosue MS, Bellolio MF, Van Houten HK, Shah ND, Campbell RL. National trends in emergency department visits and hospitalizations for food-induced anaphylaxis in US children. *Pediatr Allergy Immunol Off Publ Eur Soc Pediatr Allergy Immunol* (2018) 29(5):538–44. doi: 10.1111/pai.12908

11. Savage J, Sicherer S, Wood R. The natural history of food allergy. J Allergy Clin Immunol In practice. (2016) 4(2):196–203. doi: 10.1016/j.jaip.2015.11.024

12. Brough HA, Caubet JC, Mazon A, Haddad D, Bergmann MM, Wassenberg J, et al. Defining challenge-proven coexistent nut and sesame seed allergy: A prospective multicenter European study. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* (2020) 145(4):1231–9. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2019.09.036

13. Warren CM, Jiang J, Gupta RS. Epidemiology and burden of food allergy. Curr Allergy Asthma Rep (2020) 20(2):6. doi: 10.1007/s11882-020-0898-7

14. Wang J, Sampson HA. Food anaphylaxis. Clin Exp Allergy J Br Soc Allergy Clin Immunol (2007) 37(5):651-60. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2222.2007.02682.x

15. FDA Encourages manufacturers to clearly declare all uses of sesame in ingredient list on food labels. U.S. Food and Drug Administration (2020). Available at: https://www.fda. gov/news-events/press-announcements/fda-encourages-manufacturers-clearly-declare-all-uses-sesame-ingredient-list-food-labels.

16. Chooniedass R, Temple B, Martin D, Becker A. A qualitative study exploring parents' experiences with epinephrine use for their child's anaphylactic reaction. *Clin Trans Allergy* (2018) 8:43. doi: 10.1186/s13601-018-0230-y

17. Turner PJ, DunnGalvin A, Hourihane JO. The emperor has no symptoms: The risks of a blanket approach to using epinephrine autoinjectors for all allergic reactions. *J Allergy Clin Immunol In practice.* (2016) 4(6):1143–6. doi: 10.1016/j.jaip.2016.05.005

18. Yamamoto-Hanada K, Futamura M, Takahashi O, Narita M, Kobayashi F, Ohya Y. Caregivers of children with no food allergy-their experiences and perception of food allergy. *Pediatr Allergy Immunol Off Publ Eur Soc Pediatr Allergy Immunol* (2015) 26 (7):614–7. doi: 10.1111/pai.12442

19. Leftwich J, Barnett J, Muncer K, Shepherd R, Raats MM, Hazel Gowland M, et al. The challenges for nut-allergic consumers of eating out. *Clin Exp Allergy J Br Soc Allergy Clin Immunol* (2011) 41(2):243–9. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2222.2010.03649.x

20. Patel N, Herbert L, Green TD. The emotional, social, and financial burden of food allergies on children and their families. *Allergy Asthma Proc* (2017) 38(2):88–91. doi: 10.2500/aap.2017.38.4028

21. Polloni L, DunnGalvin A, Ferruzza E, Bonaguro R, Lazzarotto F, Toniolo A, et al. Coping strategies, alexithymia and anxiety in young patients with food allergy. *Allergy.jt*/> (2017) 72(7):1054–60. doi: 10.1111/all.13097

22. King RM, Knibb RC, Hourihane JO. Impact of peanut allergy on quality of life, stress and anxiety in the family. Allergy.jt/> (2009) 64(3):461–8. doi: 10.1111/j.1398-9995.2008.01843.x

23. Iweala OI, Burks AW. Food allergy: Our evolving understanding of its pathogenesis, prevention, and treatment. *Curr Allergy Asthma Rep* (2016) 16(5):37. doi: 10.1007/s11882-016-0616-7

24. Luger EO, Fokuhl V, Wegmann M, Abram M, Tillack K, Achatz G, et al. Induction of long-lived allergen-specific plasma cells by mucosal allergen challenge. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* (2009) 124(4):819–26.e4. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2009.06.047

25. Luger EO, Wegmann M, Achatz G, Worm M, Renz H, Radbruch A. Allergy for a lifetime? *Allergology Int Off J Japanese Soc Allergology* (2010) 59(1):1–8. doi: 10.2332/ allergolint.10-RAI-0175

26. He JS, Narayanan S, Subramaniam S, Ho WQ, Lafaille JJ, Curotto de Lafaille MA. Biology of IgE production: IgE cell differentiation and the memory of IgE responses. *Curr topics Microbiol Immunol* (2015) 388:1–19. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-13725-4_1

27. Wood RA. Food allergen immunotherapy: Current status and prospects for the future. J Allergy Clin Immunol (2016) 137(4):973–82. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2016.01.001

28. Varshney P, Steele PH, Vickery BP, Bird JA, Thyagarajan A, Scurlock AM, et al. Adverse reactions during peanut oral immunotherapy home dosing. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* (2009) 124(6):1351–2. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2009.09.042

29. Vazquez-Ortiz M, Turner PJ. Improving the safety of oral immunotherapy for food allergy. *Pediatr Allergy Immunol Off Publ Eur Soc Pediatr Allergy Immunol* (2015) 27 (2):117–25. doi: 10.1111/pai.12510

30. Virkud YV, Burks AW, Steele PH, Edwards LJ, Berglund JP, Jones SM, et al. Novel baseline predictors of adverse events during oral immunotherapy in children with peanut allergy. J Allergy Clin Immunol (2017) 139(3):882–8.e5. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2016.07.030

31. Cildag S, Senturk T. The effect of omalizumab treatment on IgE and other immunoglobulin levels in patients with chronic spontaneous urticaria and its association with treatment response. *Postepy dermatologii i alergologii.* (2018) 35 (5):516–9. doi: 10.5114/ada.2017.71422

32. Gonul M, Ozenergun Bittaci A, Ergin C. Omalizumab-induced triphasic anaphylaxis in a patient with chronic spontaneous urticaria. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereology JEADV. (2016) 30(11):e135-e6. doi: 10.1111/jdv.13439

33. O'Neill LA, Kishton RJ, Rathmell J. A guide to immunometabolism for immunologists. *Nat Rev Immunol* (2016) 16(9):553–65. doi: 10.1038/nri.2016.70

34. Simmen T, Lynes EM, Gesson K, Thomas G. Oxidative protein folding in the endoplasmic reticulum: tight links to the mitochondria-associated membrane (MAM). *Biochim Biophys Acta* (2010) 1798(8):1465–73. doi: 10.1016/j.bbamem.2010.04.009

35. Arnold JN, Radcliffe CM, Wormald MR, Royle L, Harvey DJ, Crispin M, et al. The glycosylation of human serum IgD and IgE and the accessibility of identified oligomannose structures for interaction with mannan-binding lectin. *J Immunol* (2004) 173(11):6831–40. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.173.11.6831

36. Wu G, Hitchen PG, Panico M, North SJ, Barbouche MR, Binet D, et al. Glycoproteomic studies of IgE from a novel hyper IgE syndrome linked to PGM3 mutation. *Glycoconjugate J* (2016) 33(3):447–56. doi: 10.1007/s10719-015-9638-y

37. Zauner G, Selman MH, Bondt A, Rombouts Y, Blank D, Deelder AM, et al. Glycoproteomic analysis of antibodies. *Mol Cell Proteomics MCP*. (2013) 12(4):856–65. doi: 10.1074/mcp.R112.026005

38. Schroeder HWJr., Cavacini L. Structure and function of immunoglobulins. J Allergy Clin Immunol (2010) 125(2 Suppl 2):S41–52. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2009.09.046

39. Hickman S, Kulczycki AJr., Lynch RG, Kornfeld S. Studies of the mechanism of tunicamycin in hibition of IgA and IgE secretion by plasma cells. *J Biol Chem* (1977) 252 (12):4402–8. doi: 10.1016/S0021-9258(17)40279-1

40. Sidman C. Differing requirements for glycosylation in the secretion of related glycoproteins is determined neither by the producing cell nor by the relative number of oligosaccharide units. *J Biol Chem* (1981) 256(18):9374–6. doi: 10.1016/S0021-9258(19) 68766-1

41. Yoshida H, Matsui T, Yamamoto A, Okada T, Mori K. XBP1 mRNA is induced by ATF6 and spliced by IRE1 in response to ER stress to produce a highly active transcription factor. *Cell.jt/>* (2001) 107(7):881–91. doi: 10.1016/S0092-8674(01)00611-0

42. Taubenheim N, Tarlinton DM, Crawford S, Corcoran LM, Hodgkin PD, Nutt SL. High rate of antibody secretion is not integral to plasma cell differentiation as revealed by XBP-1 deficiency. *J Immunol* (2012) 189(7):3328–38. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1201042

43. Todd DJ, McHeyzer-Williams LJ, Kowal C, Lee AH, Volpe BT, Diamond B, et al. XBP1 governs late events in plasma cell differentiation and is not required for antigen-specific memory b cell development. *J Exp Med* (2009) 206(10):2151–9. doi: 10.1084/jem.20090738

44. Lam WY, Bhattacharya D. Metabolic links between plasma cell survival, secretion, and stress. *Trends Immunol* (2018) 39(1):19–27. doi: 10.1016/j.it.2017.08.007

45. Tellier J, Shi W, Minnich M, Liao Y, Crawford S, Smyth GK, et al. Blimp-1 controls plasma cell function through the regulation of immunoglobulin secretion and the unfolded protein response. *Nat Immunol* (2016) 17(3):323–30. doi: 10.1038/ni.3348

46. Reimold AM, Iwakoshi NN, Manis J, Vallabhajosyula P, Szomolanyi-Tsuda E, Gravallese EM, et al. Plasma cell differentiation requires the transcription factor XBP-1. Nature.jt/> (2001) 412(6844):300–7. doi: 10.1038/35085509

47. Hu CC, Dougan SK, McGehee AM, Love JC, Ploegh HL. XBP-1 regulates signal transduction, transcription factors and bone marrow colonization in b cells. *EMBO J* (2009) 28(11):1624–36. doi: 10.1038/emboj.2009.117

48. Iwakoshi NN, Lee AH, Glimcher LH. The X-box binding protein-1 transcription factor is required for plasma cell differentiation and the unfolded protein response. *Immunol Rev* (2003) 194:29–38. doi: 10.1034/j.1600-065X.2003.00057.x

49. Li XM, Zhang TF, Huang CK, Srivastava K, Teper AA, Zhang L, et al. Food allergy herbal formula-1 (FAHF-1) blocks peanut-induced anaphylaxis in a murine model. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* (2001) 108(4):639–46. doi: 10.1067/mai.2001.118787

50. Srivastava KD, Bardina L, Sampson HA, Li XM. Efficacy and immunological actions of FAHF-2 in a murine model of multiple food allergies. *Ann allergy Asthma Immunol Off Publ Am Coll Allergy Asthma Immunol* (2012) 108(5):351–8.e1. doi: 10.1016/j.anai.2012.03.008

51. Srivastava KD, Song Y, Yang N, Liu C, Goldberg IE, Nowak-Wegrzyn A, et al. B-FAHF-2 plus oral immunotherapy (OIT) is safer and more effective than OIT alone in a murine model of concurrent peanut/tree nut allergy. *Clin Exp Allergy* (2017) 47(8):1038– 49. doi: 10.1111/cea.12936

52. Srivastava K, Yang N, Chen Y, Lopez-Exposito I, Song Y, Goldfarb J, et al. Efficacy, safety and immunological actions of butanol-extracted food allergy herbal formula-2 on peanut anaphylaxis. *Clin Exp Allergy J Br Soc Allergy Clin Immunol* (2011) 41(4):582–91. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2222.2010.03643.x

53. Kattan JD, Srivastava KD, Zou ZM, Goldfarb J, Sampson HA, Li XM. Pharmacological and immunological effects of individual herbs in the food allergy herbal formula-2 (FAHF-2) on peanut allergy. *Phytother Res* (2008) 22(5):651–9. doi: 10.1002/ptr.2357

54. Wang J, Patil SP, Yang N, Ko J, Lee J, Noone S, et al. Safety, tolerability, and immunologic effects of a food allergy herbal formula in food allergic individuals: a randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled, dose escalation, phase 1 study. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol* (2010) 105(1):75–84. doi: 10.1016/j.anai.2010.05.005

55. Yang N, Wang J, Liu C, Song Y, Zhang S, Zi J, et al. Berberine and limonin suppress IgE production by human b cells and peripheral blood mononuclear cells from foodallergic patients. *Ann allergy Asthma Immunol Off Publ Am Coll Allergy Asthma Immunol* (2014) 113(5):556–64.e4. doi: 10.1016/j.anai.2014.07.021

56. Lopez-Exposito I, Castillo A, Yang N, Liang B, Li XM. Chinese Herbal extracts of rubia cordifolia and dianthus superbus suppress IgE production and prevent peanut-induced anaphylaxis. *Chin Med* (2011) 6:35. doi: 10.1186/1749-8546-6-35

57. National Research Council (US) Institute for Laboratory Animal Research. *Guide* for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. Washington (DC): National Academies Press (US) (1996). doi: 10.17226/5140

58. Qu C, Srivastava K, Ko J, Zhang TF, Sampson HA, Li XM. Induction of tolerance after establishment of peanut allergy by the food allergy herbal formula-2 is associated with up-regulation of interferon-gamma. *Clin Exp Allergy J Br Soc Allergy Clin Immunol* (2007) 37(6):846–55. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2222.2007.02718.x

59. Srivastava KD, Siefert A, Fahmy TM, Caplan MJ, Li XM, Sampson HA. Investigation of peanut oral immunotherapy with CpG/peanut nanoparticles in a murine model of peanut allergy. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* (2016) 138(2):536–543. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2014.12.1701

60. Barnes NA, Stephenson SJ, Tooze RM, Doody GM. Amino acid deprivation links BLIMP-1 to the immunomodulatory enzyme indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase. *J Immunol* (2009) 183(9):5768–77. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.0803480

61. Scheeren FA, Naspetti M, Diehl S, Schotte R, Nagasawa M, Wijnands E, et al. STAT5 regulates the self-renewal capacity and differentiation of human memory b cells and controls bcl-6 expression. *Nat Immunol* (2005) 6(3):303–13. doi: 10.1038/ni1172

62. Diehl SA, Schmidlin H, Nagasawa M, van Haren SD, Kwakkenbos MJ, Yasuda E, et al. STAT3-mediated up-regulation of BLIMP1 is coordinated with BCL6 down-regulation to control human plasma cell differentiation. *J Immunol* (2008) 180(7):4805–15. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.180.7.4805

63. Tellier J, Nutt SL. Plasma cells: The programming of an antibody-secreting machine. *Eur J Immunol* (2019) 49(1):30–7. doi: 10.1002/eji.201847517

64. Chang W, Chen L, Hatch GM. Berberine as a therapy for type 2 diabetes and its complications: From mechanism of action to clinical studies. *Biochem Cell Biol* (2015) 93 (5):479–86. doi: 10.1139/bcb-2014-0107

65. Lan J, Zhao Y, Dong F, Yan Z, Zheng W, Fan J, et al. Meta-analysis of the effect and safety of berberine in the treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus, hyperlipemia and hypertension. *J ethnopharmacology*. (2015) 161:69–81. doi: 10.1016/j.jep.2014.09.049

66. Yin J, Gao Z, Liu D, Liu Z, Ye J. Berberine improves glucose metabolism through induction of glycolysis. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab* (2008) 294(1):E148–56. doi: 10.1152/ajpendo.00211.2007

67. Turner N, Li JY, Gosby A, To SW, Cheng Z, Miyoshi H, et al. Berberine and its more biologically available derivative, dihydroberberine, inhibit mitochondrial respiratory complex I: a mechanism for the action of berberine to activate AMP-activated protein kinase and improve insulin action. *Diabetes.jt/>* (2008) 57(5):1414–8. doi: 10.2337/db07-1552

68. Song Y, Qu C, Srivastava K, Yang N, Busse P, Zhao W, et al. Food allergy herbal formula 2 protection against peanut anaphylactic reaction is *via* inhibition of mast cells and basophils. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* (2010) 126(6):1208–17.e3. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2010.09.013

69. Lam WY, Jash A, Yao CH, D'Souza L, Wong R, Nunley RM, et al. Metabolic and transcriptional modules independently diversify plasma cell lifespan and function. *Cell Rep* (2018) 24(9):2479–92.e6. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2018.07.084

70. Hammarlund E, Thomas A, Amanna IJ, Holden LA, Slayden OD, Park B, et al. Plasma cell survival in the absence of b cell memory. *Nat Commun* (2017) 8(1):1781. doi: 10.1038/s41467-017-01901-w

71. Messner B, Stütz AM, Albrecht B, Peiritsch S, Woisetschläger M. Cooperation of binding sites for STAT6 and NF kappa b/rel in the IL-4-induced up-regulation of the human IgE germline promoter. *J Immunol* (1997) 159(7):3330–7. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.159.7.3330

72. Harris MB, Chang C-C, Berton MT, Danial NN, Zhang J, Kuehner D, et al. Transcriptional repression of Stat6-dependent interleukin-4-Induced genes by BCL-6: Specific regulation of iε transcription and immunoglobulin e switching. *Mol Cell Biol* (1999) 19(10):7264–75. doi: 10.1128/MCB.19.10.7264

73. Lam WY, Becker AM, Kennerly KM, Wong R, Curtis JD, Llufrio EM, et al. Mitochondrial pyruvate import promotes long-term survival of antibody-secreting plasma cells. *Immunity.jt/>* (2016) 45(1):60–73. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2016.06.011

74. Yin J, Xing H, Ye J. Efficacy of berberine in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Metabolism: Clin experimental.* (2008) 57(5):712-7. doi: 10.1016/j.metabol.2008.01.013

75. Wang J, Guo X, Chen C, Sun S, Liu G, Liu M, et al. Gender differences in food allergy depend on the PPAR γ /NF- κ B in the intestines of mice. *Life Sci* (2021) 278:119606. doi: 10.1016/j.lfs.2021.119606

76. Snider DP, Marshall JS, Perdue MH, Liang H. Production of IgE antibody and allergic sensitization of intestinal and peripheral tissues after oral immunization with protein Ag and cholera toxin. J Immunol (1994) 153(2):647–57. doi: 10.4049/ jimmunol.153.2.647

77. Schülke S, Albrecht M. Mouse models for food allergies: Where do we stand? Cells.jt/> (2019) 8(6):546. doi: 10.3390/cells8060546

78. Kanagaratham C, Sallis BF, Fiebiger E. Experimental models for studying food allergy. *Cell Mol Gastroenterol hepatology.* (2018) 6(3):356-69.e1. doi: 10.1016/j.jcmgh.2018.05.010

79. Ptaschinski C, Rasky AJ, Fonseca W, Lukacs NW. Stem cell factor neutralization protects from severe anaphylaxis in a murine model of food allergy. *Front Immunol* (2021) 12:604192. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.604192

80. Samadi N, Heiden D, Klems M, Salzmann M, Rohrhofer J, Weidmann E, et al. Gastric enzyme supplementation inhibits food allergy in a BALB/c mouse model. *Nutrients* (2021) 13(3):738. doi: 10.3390/nu13030738

81. Gu Y, Guo X, Sun S, Che H. High-fat diet-induced obesity aggravates food allergy by intestinal barrier destruction and inflammation. *Int Arch Allergy Immunol* (2022) 183 (1):80–92. doi: 10.1159/000517866

82. Kinney SR, Carlson L, Ser-Dolansky J, Thompson C, Shah S, Gambrah A, et al. Curcumin ingestion inhibits mastocytosis and suppresses intestinal anaphylaxis in a murine model of food allergy. *PloS One* (2015) 10(7):e0132467. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0132467

83. Jensen-Jarolim E, Pali-Scholl I, Roth-Walter F. Outstanding animal studies in allergy II. from atopic barrier and microbiome to allergen-specific immunotherapy. *Curr Opin Allergy Clin Immunol* (2017) 17(3):180–7. doi: 10.1097/ACI.00000000000364